ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

ANTERIOR BRIDGE PLATING OF HUMERUS- A PROMISING TREATMENT



ormophene	
Dr Mohammed Thanveeruddin Sherule	Asst. Professor, Dept.of orthopaedics, Govt.Medical College, Kozhikode, Kerala 673006
Dr Arun K	Professor and Head, Dept.of orthopaedics, Govt.Medical College, Kozhikode, Kerala

ABSTRACT

Functional bracing of humerus consistently gives acceptable functional result as well as radiological union in humerus shaft fracture. However many patients complains of pain and disability during the healing phase and many have residual stiffness of joint at the end of the treatment. Traditional open reduction with DCP though gives acceptable results, it can result in non-union, iatrogenic radial nerve palsy etc as its complications. Non union and plate failure following traditional plating of humerus is extremely difficult to manage. Nailing of humerus fracture is not popular like in other long bones of the body because it produces significant shoulder complaints due to impingement. Here we present our experience of anterior bridge plating in shaft of humerus fracture. It is a retrospective analysis of 30 patients who had undergone anterior bridge plating with a minimum follow-up of one year.

KEYWORDS:

Anterior bridge plating, Fracture of shaft of humerus, MIPPO

Introduction.

Orthonaedics

Humerus fracture is a relatively common fracture being treated by orthopaedic surgeons world over. Its treatment varied from hanging arm cast to surgical fixation with plate and screws or nails¹. Each is having its own merits and demerits. The present concept of surgical fixation of bone is to restore the anatomy with minimal violation of biology, 'biologic plating'¹. Due to the tremendous amount of movements possible at the shoulder joint, malunion of humerus is well tolerated by the patients to a certain degree¹. This fact is well proven by Sarmiento in his classical work². Now Sarmiento's method of functional bracing of humerus is considered to be the gold standard in the conservative treatment of humerus fracture¹. Here we present our results of anterior bridge plating of humerus.

673006

Aim

To assess the radiological Union following this modality of treatment To assess the functional results

Materials and Methods.

We retrospectively assessed the data of patients who had undergone anterior bridge plating of humerus at Calicut medical college between the period January 2015 to January 2016 so as to get a minimum follow up of 1 year. The case records and x-rays were verified to assess the mode of injury, type of fracture, level of fracture and any associated complications. Surgical notes are verified. Postoperative X-rays were reviewed. Their follow-up records are also assessed to note the fracture union and the status of complications if any. These patients were contacted through mail and were asked to review in outpatient department to assess the functional results.

Functional results are assessed by the UCLA score³

Results

We had a total of 34 patients who had undergone anterior bridge plating of humerus during this period. Out of that 4 were type 1 open fractures which were excluded from this study.

This paper is the analysis of those thirty patients. There were a total of 22 males. Average age was 38 years with a range of 18-65 years. Twenty patients had fracture of right humerus. Road traffic accident was the predominant mode of injury with 18 patients sustaining injury due to road traffic accident. 12 patients sustained injury following a simple fall. 16 patients had middle third fracture and 14 patients had lower third fracture. 16 patients had comminuted fracture, 8 had transverse fracture and 6 had oblique fracture. Three patients had radial nerve palsy prior to surgery.

Mde of injury	Level of fracture	Type of fracture
RTA 18	U/3 0	C 16
Fall 12	M/3 16	T 8
	L/3 14	O 6

RTA=Road traffic accident, U/3=Upper third M/3= Middle third L/3=Lower third

C=Comminuted T=Transverse O=Oblique

All patients had a minimum follow up of 1 year. All fractures united radiologically within that period. Average time for union was 4.4 months, with a range of 2.5 months to 7 months. All nerve injuries recovered completely. There was no iatrogenic radial nerve.



Preop X-ray Fig 1



Preop 3D CT Scan Fig 2





Postop AP Fig 3 Postop Lat Fig 4

Only 16 patients responded to mail and attended the outpatient department voluntarily. All of those 16 patients had excellent or good result as per the UCLA score.

45

ISSN No 2277 - 8179 | IF : 4.176 | IC Value : 78.46





AP x-ray at fracture union Fig 5



Shoulder Function Fig 7

Fig 6

Lat X-ray at Fracture union



Healed Wound Fig 8

Discussion

Traditionally humerus fractures were treated by conservative methods like hanging arm cast, Coaptation splints or functional bracing¹. Now functional bracing is considered to be the gold standard of conservative treatment of humerus fracture¹. Fracture union rates are usually reported over 90 percent by various studies⁴. However it has got various disadvantages like skin break down, functional disability during healing phase, pain during healing stage, residual deformity, and stiffness of joints⁴. Though surgical treatment of humerus fracture is still not convincingly proven to offer any superior results compared to functional bracing, patient satisfaction with surgical fixation is better in the initial period⁵. At the same time open reduction of humerus with internal fixation with plate and screws requires wide dissection of muscle and stripping of periosteum which can result in non union and failure of plate fixation not infrequently. As per Sarmiento, even an aggressive surgeon won't operate upon humerus who have long recognised that complications from surgery are likely to occur and their satisfactory resolution is difficult to achieve.⁶Present concept of humerus surgery is to attain biological fixation. One method of biological fixation is nailing. But unlike in other long bone fractures this frequently results in rotator cuff damage which ultimately affects the shoulder function of the patient⁶. Most of the recent studies confirms to the fact that surgically plated humerus fracture patients fares better functionally than surgically nailed patients7.8.9. Nailing frequently results in shoulder impingement resulting in implant removal⁸. Recently anterior bridge plating of humerus by MIPPO technique has become a popular method of treatment because it avoids most of the complications mentioned above. Like in our study many studies shown excellent union rates and functional results7 their analysis of 16 RCTs comparing conventional plating, intramedullary nailing and Mippo plating for humerus fracture(comparing between any of two methods) Zhao JG et al concluded that compared with nailing and conventional plating, MIPPO technique is the preferred treatment method for humeral shaft fractures¹².Since it was a retrospective study we could only report the functional outcome of few patients. In all of them UCLA shoulder score was consistently high. From our experience we believe that this is a 'surgical method of functional bracing' since biology is disturbed sparingly and fracture union occurs by external callus, which is considered to be the natural method of bone healing. Since it is technically not a demanding procedure and produces excellent results with minimal complications we believe it should be considered as the treatment of choice in majority of humerus fractures.

References

- Robert Bucholzz, James Heckman and CM, Court-Brown, "ROCKWOOD, AND 1 GREEN'S FRACTURES IN ADULTS, Lippincott Williams& Wilkins, 6th edition, p 1132. p234.
- Sarmiento A (1977) Functional bracing of fractures of the shaft of the humerus J Bone 2. Joint Surg Am; 59: 596-601.
- Joint Sung All, 25:30–001. Amstuzt HC, Sew Hoy AL, Clarke IC. UCLA anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1981 Mar-Apr;(155):7-20. Papasoulis E1, Drosos GI, Ververidis AN, Verettas DA. Functional bracing of humeral shaft fractures. A review of clinical studies. Injury. 2010 Jul;41(7) 3. 4
- 5.
- Nicholas D. Clement Management of Humeral Shaft Fractures; Non-Operative Versus Operative. Arch Trauma Res. 2015 Jun; 4(2) Sarmiento, L.L. Latta Functional Fracture Bracing. Springer 2003. P 141
- Hohmann E, Glatt V, Tetsworth K. Minimally invasive plating versus either open reduction and plate fixation or intramedullary nailing of humeral shaft fractures: a 7. systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2016 Oct;25(10):1634-42.
- Kurup H, Hossain M, Andrew JG .Dynamic compression plating versus locked 8. intramedullary nailing for humeral shaft fractures in adults Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 Jun 15;(6)
- Lian K, Wang L, Lin D, Chen ZMinimally invasive plating osteosynthesis for mid-distal third humeral shaft fractures. Orthopedics. 2013 Aug;36(8) 9
- 10 Mahajan AS, Kim YG, Kim JH, D'sa P, Lakhani A, Ok HS Is Anterior Bridge Plating for Mid-Shaft Humeral Fractures a Suitable Option for Patients Predominantly Involved in Overhead Activities? A Functional Outcome Study in Athletes and Manual Laborers. Clin Orthop Surg. 2016 Dec;8(4):358-366
- Kim JW, Oh CW, Byun YS, Kim JJ, Park KC. A prospective randomized study of operative treatment for noncomminuted humeral shaft fractures: conventional open plating versus minimal invasive plate osteosynthesis. J Orthop Trauma. 2015 Apr;29(4):189-94.
- Zhao JG1,2, Wang J2, Meng XH3, Zeng XT2, Kan SL2 .Surgical interventions to treat 12 humerus shaft fractures: A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.PLoS One. 2017 Mar 23:12(3)