# A STUDY ON WORKING WOMEN AND THEIR **EMPOWERMENT ON DOMESTIC DECISION MAKING**



Management

KEYWORDS : Women, Decision Making, Participation.

Mrs. S.Sujatha

Assistant Professor, Department of B. Com (CA) & M. Com, PSGR Krishnammal College for women, Peelamedu, Coimbatore

Dr. (Mrs.) N. Yesodha Devi Principal, PSGR Krishnammal College for women, Peelamedu, Coimbatore

ABSTRACT

Participation of women in decision making is an integral part of democratic process and strengthening the quality of civic life. As they are half the population, women must be in a position of decision-making authority in all socio economic and political organizations. The obstructions and hurdles found against the improvement of women/working women have to be identified and removed. Hence women need awareness by going through the constitutional changes if they are not having access to that extent the voluntary orgainsations enumerated revolving socio-economic problems would conduct public awareness programmes in each and every nook and corner, which would help the women and men to know their levels and solve their problems. The present study has been taken up in the Coimbatore city, in the state of Tamilnadu to analyse the extent to which women work participation empowers them in family decision making. An interview schedule was used to collect data from 150 respondents who are working women. This is an empirical research based on survey method. The data collected from the primary source were analysed with the help of various statistical measures such as percentage analysis, ANOVA & t-test.

# Introduction

The working women are vested with power to decide and execute when domestic events require their need. Their earning plays a vital role in upliftment of their family which would improve their socio-economic status. In recent years, gender equality and women's empowerment have been recognized as crucial to the health and socio-economic development of entire country. This is evident from equality gender based inequalities' stem from greater value being placed on the health and survival of males than of females. At the household levels, disempowerment of women results in their lower access to education, employment and income, limits their participation in decision making. Men's power over women's lives can be measured by the extent to which women suffer from domestic violence. When the working women have not been vested with power to have decision they would become ignorant in taking care of their life which caused their family to ignore them.

Empowerment is a multidimensional process which should enable the individuals or a group of individuals to realize their full identity and powers in all spheres of life. It consists of greater autonomy in decision making to enable them to have greater ability to plan their lives or have greater control over the circumstances that influences their lives and free them from the shackles imposed on them by custom, belief and practice.

# **Objectives of the Study**

Analyzing the extent to which working women participation empowers them in family decision making.

Examine the level of satisfaction on decision making by working women.

# Methodology of the Study

The present study was taken up in the Coimbatore city, in the state of Tamilnadu. Both primary and secondary data have been used for this study. Continent sampling technique was used in this study. An interview schedule was used to collect data from 150 respondents who are working women. This is an empirical research based on survey method. The study was conducted from December 2013 to February 2014. The data collected from the primary source were analysed with the help of various statistical measures such as percentage analysis, ANOVA & t-test, secondary data was collected from the journal and magazines.

# **Review of Literature**

Mesganaw Kanrahun (2007)<sup>1</sup>, the purpose of this Study is to

assess the influence of household decision making, social capital, socio-economic factors and health service use on under-five mortality. A prospective case-referent design with a total of 209 under-five year old deaths occurring in an 18-month period, together with 627 referents matched for age, sex and community of residence were included. Questionnaires were administered to mothers or caretakers. Combined efforts to improve women's involvement in household decision making, social capital and immunization may decrease the high child mortality in this setting where the level of poverty is high and no appreciable trend in child mortality decline has been noted over the years.

Simeen Mahmud (2012)<sup>2</sup> describes that Women's empowerment is a dynamic process that has been quantified, measured, and described in a variety of ways. The authors measures the empowerment and designed a conceptual framework is presented, together with descriptive data on the indicators. Linear regressions to examine effects of covariates show that a woman's exposure to television is a significant predictor of three of the five indicators. A woman's years of schooling are significantly associated with one of two self-esteem indicators and with freedom of mobility. Household wealth has a significant and positive association with a woman's resource control but a significant negative association with her total decision-making score.

#### **Results and Discussions General Profile**

It can be inferred from Table 1. That 40% of the respondents belong to the age group between 25-35 years, 33.3% of them is post graduates and 62% have nuclear type of family. 42.7% of them have 4-5 members in their family, 37.3% of them are working as a teacher/lecture. 34.7% of the respondent's monthly income ranges between Rs.10001-Rs.20000. 30.0 per cent of the respondents spouse are working as a professionals and private employees. 32.7% of them having a monthly income of above Rs.35000.

# **Preference on Domestic Decision Making**

Table 2 shows that among the 150 respondents, 50.7% of them take self decision on family budget, 38 % of the respondent's state that both husband and wife take decision on children education. On savings & investment 36.7% of the respondents take self decision and on spending aspects 34.0% of the respondents take self decision, with regard to health & medicine 36.0%of the respondent's take self decision, 26.0% of the respondents husband and family members take decision on leisure activities, 57.3% of the respondents take self decision on buying home appliances, 31.3% of the respondents take self decision on giving away gifts and 62.0% of them take self decision regarding personal needs.

#### Level of Satisfaction on Decision Making

Regarding control over income commitment to children's education, domestic decision making, legal activities and work life balance the women have priority and they agree at preference they are given to take decision. Regarding participation of unsocial network activities access to resources women decision is neutral regarding literacy and access, family support, support for political parties and managing stress working women decision is disagreed.

#### ANOVA

One way ANOVA was applied to find whether the mean satisfaction score vary significantly among personal factors and the level of satisfaction on decision making. It can be inferred from table 4 that the Personal factors like educational qualification, no of members in family, occupation of working women, occupation of spouse, and monthly income of spouse of the respondents have a significant difference on level of satisfaction and hypothesis is rejected in these cases. The hypothesis is accepted in case of age, and Monthly income of the respondents. These factors do not have any difference on the level of satisfaction on decision making.

#### Table 1- General Profile of the Respondent

Classification	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Up to 25 yrs	50	33.3
25 yrs-35 yrs	60	40.0
35yrs-45 yrs	20	13.3
Above 46 yrs.	20	13.3
Diploma	24	16.0
Graduate	44	29.3
Post Graduate	50	33.3
Professional	24	16.0
No formal education	8	5.3
Joint	57	38.0
Nuclear	93	62.0
3	37	24.7
4-5	64	42.7
5-6	25	16.7
Above 6		
Nurse	18	12.0
Teacher/Lecturer	56	37.3
Bank Official	18	12.0
Doctor	15	10.0
Employee	43	28.7
Less than Rs.10000	31	20.7
Rs.10001-Rs.20000	52	34.7
Rs.20001-Rs.30000	40	26.7
Above Rs.30000	27	18.0
Business	39	26.0
Profession	45	30.0
Government employee	21	14.0
Private Employee	45	30.0
	Up to 25 yrs 25 yrs-35 yrs 35yrs-45 yrs Above 46 yrs. Diploma Graduate Post Graduate Professional No formal education Joint Nuclear 3 4-5 5-6 Above 6 Nurse Teacher/Lecturer Bank Official Doctor Employee Less than Rs.10000 Rs.10001-Rs.20000 Rs.20001-Rs.30000 Above Rs.30000 Business Profession G o v e r n m e n t employee	Classification     Respondents       Up to 25 yrs     50       25 yrs-35 yrs     60       35yrs-45 yrs     20       Above 46 yrs.     20       Diploma     24       Graduate     44       Post Graduate     50       Professional     24       No formal education     8       Joint     57       Nuclear     93       3     37       4-5     64       5-6     25       Above 6     24       Nurse     18       Teacher/Lecturer     56       Bank Official     18       Doctor     15       Employee     43       Less than Rs.10000     31       Rs.20001-Rs.30000     27       Business     39       Profession     45       Go v e r n m e n t     21

	Up to Rs.20000	34	22.7
Monthly Income of	Rs.15001-Rs.25000	27	18.0
Spouse	Rs.25001-Rs.35000	40	26.7
	Above Rs.35000	49	32.7

#### Table 2 - Preference on Domestic Decision Making

			0
actors	lassification	lo. of espondents	ercentage
<u></u>	Self	76	50.7
	Husband	23   15.3     rs   15   10.0     32   21.3     4   2.7     16   10.7     40   26.7     rs   31   20.7     57   38.0     6   4.0     21   14.0     rs   31   20.7     57   38.0     6   4.0     16   10.7     40   26.7     rs   31   20.7     57   38.0     6   4.0     16   14.0     rs   42   28.0     21   14.0     rs   42   28.0     29   19.3   3     35   23.3     rs   19   12.7     41   27.3     4   2.7     30   20.0     rs   25   16.7     30   20.0     and/   11   7.3     28   18.7     and/   2	
lget	Husband and family Members	15	10.0
Buc	Both Equal	32	21.3
Family	Myself more than Husband/ Family Members		
	Self	16	10.7
. =	Husband		
Self7650.7Husband2315.3Husband and family Members1510.0Both Equal3221.3Myself more than Husband/42.7Husband and family Members1610.7Husband4026.7Husband and family Members3120.7Both Equal5738.0Myself more than Husband/64.0Myself more than Husband/64.0Myself more than Husband/2114.0Husband and family Members2228.0Myself more than Husband/2919.3Myself more than Husband/32.0Both Equal2919.3Myself more than Husband/32.0Myself more than Husband/32.0Myself more than Husband/32.0Myself more than Husband/32.0Myself more than Husband/42.7Myself more than Husband/42.7Myself more than Husband/32.0Husband and family Members107.3Husband and family Members2516.7Both Equal3020.0Husband and family Members2516.7Both Equal3020.0Husband and family Members2818.7Husband and family Members2919.3Self26.017.3Myself more than Husband/2919.3Myself more than Husband/2919.3 </td			
hild uca	Myself more than Husband/	76   50.7     23   15.3     23   15.3     ares   15   10.0     32   21.3     4   2.7     16   10.7     40   26.7     ares   31   20.7     ares   31   20.7     ares   31   20.7     ares   31   20.7     brance   40   26.7     ares   31   20.7     brance   40   26.7     brance   31   20.7     brance   40   26.7     brance   31   20.7     brance   42   28.0     ares   19   19.3     ares   19   12.7     ares   19   12.7     ares   19   12.7     ares   19   12.7     ares   20   20.0     brand/   11   7.3     ares   25   16.7     ares   26   17.3 <tr< td=""></tr<>	
CI	Family Members		
nd			
s al	· · ·		
ring		29	19.3
Sav Inv		3	2.0
ects	Self	51	34.0
√spo	Husband	35	23.3
lg /	Husband and family Members	19	12.7
idin	Both Equal	41	27.3
Sper		4	2.7
	Self	54	36.0
	Husband	30	20.0
pu	Husband and family Members	25	16.7
h a: cine		30	20.0
Healt Medi	Myself more than Husband/	11	7.3
	Self	26	17.3
ties	Husband	28	18.7
Activi	Husband and family Members	39	26.0
an	Both Equal	28	18.7
Leisı		29	19.3
	Self	86	57.3
	Husband	12	8.0
e of ces	Husband and family Members	20	13.3
nası e ian	Both Equal	24	16.0
Purcl Hom Appl	Myself more than Husband/	8	5.3
	ranny members	L	

# **Research Paper**

	Self	47	31.3
	Husband	35	23.3
way	Husband and family Members	26	17.3
l a	Both Equal	29	19.3
Giving away Gifts	Myself more than Husband/ Family Members	13	8.7
	Self	93	62.0
Needs	Husband	11	7.3
	Husband and family Members	19	12.7
ersonal	Both Equal	21	14.0
Perso	Myself more than Husband/ Family Members	6	4.0

Table 3 - Level of Satisfaction on Decision Making by Working Women

Factors	SDA	DA	Ν	Α	SA	Total
Control over in come	4	8	25	98	15	150
Control over income	2.7	5.3	16.7	65.3	10.0	100
Commitment to	8	35	15	72	20	150
children's education	5.3	23.3	10.0	48	13.3	100
Participation	7	43	46	36	18	150
social networks	4.7	28.7	30.7	24.0	12.0	100
T it and an all a second	15	41	40	36	18	120
Literacy and access	10.0	27.3	26.7	24.0	12.0	100
Domestic	4	21	26	77	22	150
decision making	2.7	14.0	17.3	51.3	14.7	100
Fourily office day	7	20	48	48	27	150
Family attitudes	4.7	13.3	32.0	32.0	18.0	100
<b>F</b> 1	40	40	24	24	22	150
Family support	26.7	26.7	16.0	16.0	14.7	100
Knowledge of	6	33	31	71	9	150
legal activities	40.0	22.0	20.7	47.3	6.0	100
	23	37	49	23	18	150
Access to resources	15.3	24.7	32.7	15.3	12.0	100
Domestic support for	18	48	28	46	10	150
political parties	12.0	32.0	18.7	30.7	6.7	100
	4	9	14	82	41	150
Work life balance	2.7	6.0	9.3	54.7	27.3	100
Mana at a star a	3	42	39	39	27	150
Managing stress	2.0	28.0	26.0	26.0	18.0	100

#### ANOVA ANALYSIS

Table 4 - Personal Factors Vs Level of Satisfaction

Personal factors		Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	Frequency	Significant/ Not Significant
	Between Groups	57.630	3	19.210	.499	
Age	Within Groups	5617.063	146	38.473		Not significant
	Between Groups	247.301	4	61.825	1.652	
Educational Qualification	Within Groups	5427.392	145	37.430		Significant

# Volume : 4 | Issue : 2 | February 2015 • ISSN No 2277 - 8179

Tamily Members	Between Groups	206.654	3	51.664	1.370	Significant
Family		5468.039		37.711		Signi
10.1	Between Groups	214.826	4	53.706	1.426	
Occupation of Working F	Within Groups	5459.867	145	37.654		
	Between Groups	43.094	3	10.774	.277	
Monthly income	Within Groups	5631.599	145	38.839		Not significant
l of	Between Groups	124.767	3	41.589	1.094	
Monthly Income Occupation of Spouse	Within Groups	5549.927	146	38.013		Significant
come	Between Groups	355.796	3	118.599	3.255	
Monthly Inc of Spouse	Within Groups	5318.897	146	36.431		C:

# CONCLUSION

A study on working women and their empowerment on domestic decision making is analysed in order to know level of preference given on making the decision regarding family budget, children education, purchasing and spending activity, savings and investment in their family and also in their working place, and it can be concluded that many of the working women are involved in the decision making and the women empowerment can be developed if they allowed to work in the higher position and thereby the economic empowerment will also be developed.

# REFERENCE

1. Joyce Endeley (2001), "Conceptualising women's empowerment in societies in Cameron, how does money fit in?" Gender & Development, 9. | 2.

Paula Kantor (2003) "Women's Empowerment Through Home-based Work: Evidence from India", Development and Change, 34 (3), 425 - 445. [3. Naila Kabeer (2005), "Gender equality and women's empowerment", Gender & Development, 13 (1), 13-24. [4. Mesganaw Fantahun (2007), "Women's involvement in household decision-making and strengthening social capital crucial factors for child survival in Ethiopia", Acta Paediatrica, 96 (4), 582-589. [5. Simeen Mahmud (2012), "Measurement of Women's Empowerment in Rural Bangladesh", 40(3), 610-619. [6. Nayak, Purusottam (2012), "Women Empowerment in India", 2008, Bulletin of Political Economy, 5 (2), 155-183. ]