# Identification of Maternal, Environmental and Hygienic Practices as Risk Factors for Diarrhoea



# **Medical Science**

**KEYWORDS:** Risk factor, Diarrhoea, Maternal factors.

Dr. Ravindra Ahuja	Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Barabanki, UP.
Dr Ruchi Thakur	Assistant Professor, Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Peoples College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal
Dr. Rajat Ahuja	Junior Resident, Department of Pediatrics, ERA's Medical College, Lucknow * Corresponding author

## **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To identify maternal, environmental and hygienic determinants of childhood diarrhoea which can be modified to improve child health.

Methods: This was a case-control study matched for age and sex under 3 years children. A case was defined as  $\geq$ 3 loose stools per day in a child and was the first person in the household with diarrhoea. Controls were healthy children residing in the nearby household and had no history of diarrhoea in last one month. The households with children <3 years were selected by simple random sampling method.

Results: The risk of diarrhoea was 64% lower who were literates than illiterates (OR=0.36, 95%Cl=0.22-0.57, p=0.0001). The children of housewife's were significantly at greater risk of diarrhoea than working (OR=2.11, 95%Cl=1.20-3.70, p=0.008). The hand washing by mother before feeding the child and after cleaning faeces were found to be significantly associated with the risk of diarrhoea. The risk of diarrhoea was about two times higher among those where the water was stored in container (OR=1.81, Cl=1.08-3.01, p=0.02). The presence of toilet facility in the house was at lower risk for diarrhoea.

Conclusion: The present study has identified important socio-economic and environmental determinants that contribute to the oc¬currence of diarrhoea in under 3 years children. Mothers with some educa¬tion protected their children against diarrhoea better than mothers with no education under poor environmen¬tal settings.

#### INTRODUCTION

Diarrhoeal disease remains one of the principal causes of morbidity and mortality in children. Globally, children aged less than five years experience, on average, 3.2 episodes of diarrhoea every year<sup>1</sup>, and consequently 1.87 million children will die from dehydration associated with diarrhoeal disease<sup>2</sup>. It is usually a symptom of an infection in the intestinal tract, which has a variety of causative agents including viruses, bacteria and parasites<sup>3,4</sup>. Diarrhoeal infection spreads through the ingestion of contaminated food or drinking-water, or person-to-person as a result of poor hygiene.

Environmental and maternal care seeking variables are key implementation priorities that are likely to contribute in reduction of mortality due to diarrhoeal disease<sup>5</sup>. As supplemental food and fluids are introduced, if the mother knows and practices good hygiene, is able to prevent contamination of her child's food, and keeps the dwelling and its surroundings free of wastewater and excreta, the child may still remain healthy. Child diarrhoea needs to be understood through the mother because a child's world is predominantly controlled by and experienced through the mother. Additionally, the extent to which a mother can care for her child is governed by various familial, social, and cultural factors. The mother is linked to her child biologically and also takes care of her child's basic needs. When a mother is absent due to maternal death, there is an increased likelihood of child morbidity and mortality6. Low socioeconomic status, lack of maternal education, unsatisfactory health knowledge, short birth intervals, poor hygiene practices, inadequate disposal of child's stools, and insufficient breastfeeding are maternal factors that have been linked to an increased risk of child morbidity and mortality due to diarrhoea7. Nearly 90% of diarrhoea is attributed to unsafe drinking water, inadequate sanitation and poor hygiene8.

The objective of the present study was to identify maternal, environmental and hygienic determinants of childhood diarrhoea which can be modified to improve child health.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS Study design

This was a case-control study matched for age and sex of the child conducted in the field practice area of the Department of Pediatrics, Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Barabanki, UP, India. Children age <3 years were included in the study. The parents or guardians of eligible children were asked for informed consent to participate in the study

### Case definition

A case was defined as  $\geq 3$  loose stools per day in a child and was the first person in the household with diarrhoea. The latter criterion was established to help elucidate environmental risk factors for diarrhoea, rather than intra-household transmission. Those who met the case definition for diarrhoea were enrolled as a case regardless of whether the current episode was a recurrent episode.

## **Control definition**

Controls were healthy children residing in the nearby household and had no history of diarrhoea in last one month. The controls were interviewed at the same time to avoid any bias and to maintain the comparability of the cases and controls.

#### Sampling

A simple random purposive sampling method was adopted. Considering 17% exposure of diarrhoea in controls and assuming 80% power with 5% significance level, the required sample size was 145 in each cases and controls.

#### Tools of investigation

A predesigned and pretested interview schedule was used to elicit information on socio-demographic characteristics and required information. The schedule was pretested on a sample of 10 children and necessary modifications were made in the schedule to overcome the difficulties encountered during pretesting.

#### Data collection

The households with children <3 years were selected by simple random sampling method. In case, there were no eligible children in the selected household, the next household was taken in the sample. The survey was carried out till the desired number of study units was completed. Each participant was explained about the purpose of the study prior to administration of tool. The confidentiality was assured. Interview was started with general discussion to gain confidence and it slowly extended to

the specific points related to hygienic practices and the associated socio-demographic characteristics.

#### **Analysis**

The results are presented in mean±SD and percentages. The univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was carried to find out the risk factors for incidence of diarrhoea. The unadjusted odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated as well as adjusted OR. The p-value <0.05 was considered significant. All the analysis was carried out by using SPSS 16.0 version.

#### RESULTS

Table-1 describes the maternal related factors associated with the risk of diarrhoea among the children <3 years. The risk of diarrhoea was 64% lower who were literates than illiterates (OR=0.36, 95%CI=0.22-0.57, p=0.0001) and this was statistically significant. The children of housewife's were significantly at greater risk of diarrhoea than working (OR=2.11, 95%CI=1.20-3.70, p=0.008). The family size was associated with the risk of diarrhoea, however, the risk was higher among larger family size. The hand washing by mother before feeding the child and after cleaning faeces were found to be significantly associated with the risk of diarrhoea.

The environmental and hygienic factors associated with the risk of diarrhoea is presented in the Table-2. The children living in Kucha and semi-pucka houses were at greater risk of diarrhoea than living in pucka houses. The presence of animal in the house and hand pump as the source of drinking were not significantly (p>0.05) associated with the risk of diarrhoea. The risk of diarrhoea was about two times higher among those where the water was stored in container (OR=1.81, Cl=1.08-3.01, p=0.02). The presence of toilet facility in the house was at lower risk for diarrhoea.

#### DISCUSSION

This study has revealed the important determinants of childhood diarrhoea among under three children. Out of environmental variables, the presence of toilet facility, storage of drinking water and source of drinking water were found to be significantly associated with childhood diarrhoea.

Children from households with toilet facility were 83% at lower risk of diarrhoea than children living in households without toilet facility; this finding is consistent with the findings in other studies<sup>9,10,11</sup>. In this study, the hygienic practices like hand washing by mothers before feeding and after cleaning faecaes of child may significantly affected the occurrence of childhood diarrhoea. The type of toilet facility and stool disposal schemes might shade light on the notions of household sanitary conditions and as such on the possibility of the transmission of diarrhoeal pathogens through fecal contamination<sup>12,13</sup>.

Of all the socio-economic variables considered in the present study, the maternal education and occupation were significant risk factors for diarrhoea. The findings of this study regarding maternal education emphasizes that mothers with lower educational status put their children at higher risk for having diarrhoea, which is in line with a community-based cross-sectional study in Ethiopia, Zimbabwe, Uganda, India and in a case control study done in Lesotho<sup>14, 15, 16, 17</sup>. Mother's literacy influences hygienic practice, child feeding, weaning and sanitation practices which in turn were important factors for childhood diarrhoea.

The maternal occupation was found to be significantly associated with childhood diarrhoea. The findings of this study suggested that children of mothers whose occupation was non-working had a higher risk of diarrhoea compared with children whose mothers were working; this result is in line with a case control study in Iran<sup>18</sup>. A study in Egypt showed a result quite contrary to this; children whose mothers were not working or farmers or manual laborers had a significantly higher frequency of diarrhoea<sup>11</sup>. In Nigeria, mothers in informal occupations had 23% more likelihood of child diarrhoea compared to mothers in other occupational categories<sup>19</sup>. The

effect of maternal occupation on childhood diarrhoea varied from study to study; this might be due to the difference in economic activities of each place studies took place. This study clearly indicated that children of uneducated mothers were the most vulnerable to diarrhoea in the absence of toilet facilities. This is consistent with a study in Ethiopia and Ghana<sup>20,21</sup>. Thus, educated mothers without toilet facilities can manage to reduce the risk of diarrhoea even though these children are ex-ogenously exposed to a higher risk of diarrhoea.

In general, the findings of this study have important policy implications for health intervention programs and underline the view that encouraging girl's education may have a considerable importance on child health and survival in this region.

One of the limitations of this study that the data was collected cross-sectionally; in such studies it is difficult to take into account seasonal differences of the occurrences of diarrhoea. Therefore, there is need to study in different season to account for seasonal variations.

#### CONCLUSION

The present study has identified important socio-economic and environmental determinants that contribute to the occurrence of diarrhoea among under 3 years of children. Mothers with some education protected their children against diarrhoea better than mothers with no education under poor environmental settings.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to families who participated in the study.

#### Conflict of interest

None

#### Source of funding

None

Table-1: Maternal related factors associated with the risk of diarrhoea

Factors	Cases No. (%) (n=150)	Controls No. (%) (n=150)	OR (95%CI), p-value	
Education				
Literate	48 (32.0)	85 (56.7)	0.36 (0.22-0.57), 0.0001*	
Illiterate	102 (68)	65 (43.3)	1.00 (Ref)	
Occupation				
Housewife	126 (84.0)	107 (71.3)	2.11 (1.20-3.70), 0.008*	
Working	624 (16.0)	43 (28.7)	1.00 (Ref)	
Family size				
<5	32 (21.3)	29 (19.3)	1.00 (Ref)	
≥5	118 (78.7)	121 (80.7)	1.13 (0.64-1.98), 0.66	
Hygienic practices				
Hand washing before feeding to the child with soap				
Yes	54 (36.0)	130 (86.7)	0.08 (0.04-0.15), 0.0001*	
No	96 (64.0)	20 (13.3)	1.00 (Ref)	
Hand washing after cleaning faecaes of child				

Yes		114 (76.0)	0.29 (0.18-0.49), 0.0001*
No	77 (51.3)	36 (24.0)	1.00 (Ref)

OR-Odds ratio, CI-Confidence interval, Ref-Reference category, \*Significant

Table-2: Environmental and hygienic factors associated with the risk of diarrhoea

Factors	Cases No. (%)	Controls No. (%)	OR (95%CI), p-value
Environmental			
Type of house			
Pucka	27 (18.0)	43 (28.7)	1.00 (Ref.)
Semi-pucka	61 (40.7)	54 (36.0)	1.79 (0.98- 3.29), 0.06
Kucha	62 (41.3)	53 (35.3)	1.86 (1.02- 3.41), 0.04*
Animal in the house			
Yes	39 (26.2)	35 (23.3)	1.16 (0.68- 1.97), 0.56
No	110 (73.8)	115 (76.7)	1.00 (Ref)
Source of drinking water			
Тар	71 (47.3)	53 (35.3)	2.09 (1.17- 3.74), 0.01*
Hand pump	49 (32.7)	50 (33.3)	1.53 (0.83- 2.80), 0.16
Other	30 (20.0)	47 (31.3)	1.00 (Ref)
Storage of water in container			
Yes	116 (77.3)	98 (65.3)	1.81 (1.08- 3.01), 0.02*
No	34 (22.7)	52 (34.7)	1.00 (Ref)
Toilet facility in the house			
Yes	32 (21.3)	92 (61.3)	0.17 (0.10- 0.28), 0.0001*
No	118 (78.7)	58 (38.7)	1.00 (Ref)

## REFERENCE

1. Kosek M, Bern C, Guerrant RL. The global burden of diarrhoeal disease, as estimated from studies published between 1992 and 2000. Bull World Health Organ. 2003; 81:197-204. | 2. Boschi-Pinto C, Velebit L, Shibuya K. Estimating child mortality due to diarrhoea in developing  $countries.\ Bull.\ World\ Health\ Organ.\ 2008;\ 86:710-7\ |\ 3.\ Pruss-Utun\ A,\ Kay\ D,\ Fewtrell\ L,\ Bartram\ J.\ Unsafe\ water,\ Sanitation\ and\ Hygiene.\ Comparative\ qualification\ of\ health\ risks.$ Geneva: WHO, 2004; 1321-1351. | 4. Nyantekyi A, Mengistu L, Mulugeta B, Konjit T, Keberten M, Chanda M. Intestinal parasitic infections among under-five children and maternal awareness about the infections in Shesha Kekele, Wondo Genet, Southern Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal of Health Development. 2010; 24(3): 185-190. | 5. Fontaine O, Kosek M, Bhatnagar S, Boschi-Pinto C, Chan KY, Duggan C, et al. Setting research priorities to reduce global mortality from childhood diarrhoea by 2015. PLOS Med. 2009;6. | 6. Ainsworth M, Semali I. The impact of adult deaths on children's health in northwestern Tanzania. Washington, D.C: World Bank; 2000. | 7. Huq MN, Tasnim T. Maternal education and child healthcare in Bangladesh. Maternal Child Health J. 2008;12(1):43-51 | 8. Ahs JW, Wenjing T, Lofgren J, Forsberg BC. Diarrhoeal Diseases in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Open Infectious Diseases Journal 2010; 4(123): 113-124. | 9. Ali M, Asfaw T, Beyene H, Byass P, Shishay M, Karup F: A community based study of childhood morbidity in Tigray, North Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Dev 2001, 15(13):165-172. | 10. Desalegn M, Kumie A, Tefera W: Predictors of under-five childhood diarrhoea: Mecha District, West Gojjam, Ethiopia. Ethiop. J Health Dev 2011, 25(3):192-200. | 11. El-Gilany AH, Hammad S: Epidemiology of diarrhoeal diseases among children under age 5 years in Dakahila, Egypt. East Mediterr Health J 2005, 11(4):762-775. | 12. UNICEF/WHO: Diarrhoea: Why Children are Still Dying and What can be Done. New York: UNICEF; 2009. | 13. WHO: Water Sanitation and Hygiene: Public Health and The Environment. Geneva: WHO; 2007. | 14. Senyonga R, Muwonge R, Tewbaze FBN, Mutayabule R: Determinants of acute diarrhoea in children aged 0-5 in Uganda. East Afr Med J 2009, 86(11):513-519. | 15. Avachat SS, Phalke VD, Phalke DB, Syed MMA, Kalakoti P: A cross-sectional study of socio demographic determinants of recurrent diarrhoea among children under five of rural area of Western Maharashtra. AMJ 2011, 4(2):72-75. | 16. Bbaale E: Determinants of diarrhoea and acute respiratory infections among under fives in Uganda. Australas Med J 2011,4(7):400-409. | 17. Root GPM: Sanitation, community environments, and childhood diarrhoea in rural Zimbabwe. J Health Popul Nutr 2001, 19(2):73-82. | 18. Kosek M, Bern C, Richard L: The Global burden of Diarrhoeal disease as estimated from studies published between 1992-2000. Bull World Health Organ 2003,81:197-204. | 19. Raheem A, Sheu A, Segun-Agaboola B: Exploring the social and environmental determinants of child health in Ilrorin, Nigeria. Ethiop J Environ Stud Manage 2009, 2(3):73-82. | 20. Tesema T, Hailu S, Anberbir S, Mitikie G: Household illness prevalence and its determinants in the under five children. Ethiop J Health Dev 2001, 15(3):173-178. | 21. Tagoe E: Maternal Education on Infant/Child Morbidity in Ghana. In The Case of Diarrhoea: Evidence from the Ghana DHS. Edited by Paulina M, An-Magritt J. Liege: Women's position and demographic change in Sub-Saharan Africa; 1995. |