Original Research Paper

And the second s

COMPARISON OF NEUTROPHIL LYMPHOCYTE COUNT RATIO (NLCR)WITH HISTOPATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS IN ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN TERTIARY CARE CENTRE – A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Dr. Shashirekha CA	Professor And Hod, Department Of General Surgery, Sduaher
Dr. Neha Ullalkar*	Junior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, Sduaher *Corresponding Author
Dr. PN Sreeramulu	Professor And Unit Head, Department Of General Surgery, Sduaher
Dr. D Vaibhavi	Junior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, Sduaher
Dr. Sailesh Kumar	Junior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, Sduaher

Background: Acute appendicitis, a sudden inflammation of the appendix, is a leading cause of ABSTRACT abdominal pain requiring emergency surgery. However, pinpointing appendicitis can be tricky. While clinical symptoms and imaging techniques offer valuable clues, a definitive diagnosis often hinges on surgical exploration and subsequent histological examination of the appendix itself. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), a simple and costeffective blood test, has emerged as a potential candidate for this role. NLR is calculated by dividing the number of neutrophils, white blood cells that fight bacterial infections, by the number of lymphocytes, Materials And Methods: The study was approved by institutional ethical committee. Retrospective study having APPENDICITIS who have undergone EMERGENCY APPENDECTOMY. 60 study subjects are taken from the Department of General surgery, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College over period of 6 Months (July 2023- December 2023). Results: There were 40 patients with acute appendicitis in this category (n=40), and the p-value (0.009) suggests a statistically significant difference in NLRC scores between this group and the reference group (likely healthy patients or a group with a different condition). Acute Suppurative Appendicitis: The NLRC score is 7.06 with a standard deviation of 4.09 (n=8). Acute Gangrenous Appendicitis: The NLRC score is 10.33 with a standard deviation of 5.29 (n=6). Acute Appendicitis with Peri-appendicitis: The NLRC score is 3.75 with a standard deviation of 2.08 (n=4). Acute on Chronic Appendicitis: The NLRC score is 5.00 with a standard deviation of 3.71 (n=10). Conclusion: In conclusion, this study suggests that NLR holds promise as a biomarker for diagnosing and potentially differentiating subtypes of acute appendicitis.

KEYWORDS: Appendicitis, NLCR (neutrophil-to-lymphocyte count ratio),

INTRODUCTION:

Acute appendicitis, a sudden inflammation of the appendix, is a leading cause of abdominal pain requiring emergency surgery. However, pinpointing appendicitis can be tricky. While clinical symptoms and imaging techniques offer valuable clues, a definitive diagnosis often hinges on surgical exploration and subsequent histological examination of the appendix itself. This dependence on surgery creates a need for more non-invasive and readily available tools to aid in accurate and timely diagnosis.¹

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), a simple and costeffective blood test, has emerged as a potential candidate for this role. NLR is calculated by dividing the number of neutrophils, white blood cells that fight bacterial infections, by the number of lymphocytes, white blood cells involved in the immune response. ² Studies suggest that NLR might be elevated in patients with appendicitis, potentially reflecting the body's inflammatory response to the inflamed appendix.¹

This retrospective study, conducted at a tertiary care centre, aims to investigate the correlation between NLR and the severity of inflammation observed in the histological analysis of surgically removed appendices. ²By comparing NLR values with the histopathological findings, we hope to determine the potential of NLR as a biomarker for diagnosing and classifying acute appendicitis.

This research holds significant value because:

- Improved Diagnostic Accuracy: A reliable NLR threshold could potentially improve the ability to differentiate appendicitis from other causes of abdominal pain, leading to more targeted treatment approaches.
- Reduced Unnecessary Surgeries: By identifying cases with low NLR suggestive of a low likelihood of appendicitis, NLR could help avoid unnecessary surgeries and their associated risks.

Enhanced Risk Stratification: NLR might offer insights into the severity of appendicitis, allowing for better patient stratification and management.²

General Surgery

This study contributes to the ongoing exploration of NLR as a potential diagnostic and prognostic tool in acute appendicitis. By evaluating its correlation with histopathological findings, we can gain valuable insights into its effectiveness for improving patient care in this common surgical emergency.³

Nlcr Ratio:

- Marker of inflammation.
- Calculated from WBC count.
- Simple and inexpensive.
- Information regarding immune and inflammatory pathway.
- Potential marker to predict appendicitis and its severity.
- NLCR > 3.5 sensitive marker with diagnostic accuracy.

Objectives:

To determine and compare NEUTROPHIL: LYMPHOCYTE COUNT RATIO (NLCR) with HISTOPATHOLOGICAL findings in tertiary care centre.

Methodology:

Study design, sample size and source of data:

The study was approved by institutional ethical committee. Retrospective study having APPENDICITIS who have undergone EMERGENCY APPENDECTOMY. 60 study subjects are taken from the Department of General surgery, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College over period of 6 Months (July 2023-December 2023).

Inclusion Criteria:

• All patients who underwent emergency appendectomy.

Exclusion Criteria:

Interval appendicectomy

- Lacking Histopathological report
- Post chemotherapy and immunosuppressive status.

METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION:

After obtaining the ethical clearance for the retrospective study. Acute appendicitis confirmed clinically and by ultrasound examination. Data collected retrospectively from prospectively maintained hospital database (electronic/case sheets). Information was taken from medical record department. Collection of histopathological reports were done for conformation of acute appendicitis.

Complete blood count was done for the selected patients. Ratio of Neutrophils to Lymphocyte was taken (NLCR). All variables for calculating NLCR were recorded. Calculated using an electronic calculator. The investigator recorded the study calculation for each patient.

Statistical analysis:

After collecting, the data were compiled using Microsoft excel and analysis was done using SPSS software version 16. All continuous variables were represented as Mean and standard deviation and categorical variables were expressed percentages and proportions. The test of significance was one way ANOVA test. The tests were considered significant if p value was <0.05 for 95 Confidence intervals.

RESULTS:

The study comprised a single group of patients who have undergone Emergency appendectomy for Appendicitis. The mean age of all the cases were 26.97 ± 13.47 years. There was no significant difference in the age between two groups (p > 0.05). In the majority were males 38(63.33%) and females 22(36.7%). The demographic characteristics are summarized in table 1.

Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA	VALUES (N=60)	
Age (in years) (Mean \pm Sd)	26.97 ± 13.47	
Gender	Males	38(63.33%)
N (%)	Females	22 (36.7%)

Out of 60 case take n of Emergency Appendectomy, there were 32 (53.3%) cases of Acute appendicitis; 8(13.3%) cases of Acute Suppurative appendicitis, 6(10%) cases of Acute Gangrenous Appendicitis; 4(6.7%) Acute Appendicitis with peri-appendicitis; and 10 (16.7%) cases of Acute on Chronic Appendicitis. Diagnostic difference of the number of cases considered in the study are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Diagnostic Classification Of The Study Population

		-
DIAGNOSIS	FREQUENCY	PERCENTA
	N (N=60)	GE (%)
ACUTE APPENDICITIS	32	53.3%
ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	8	13.3%
APPENDICITIS		
ACUTE GANGRENOUS	6	10%
APPENDICITIS		
ACUTE APPENDICITIS WITH	4	6.7%
PERIAPPENDICITIS		
ACUTE ON CHRONIC	10	16.7%
APPENDICITIS		

The signs and symptoms seen in patients that were admitted and underwent Emergency Appendectomy. Out of 60 patients, 60 (100%) of the cases presented with Right iliac fossa tenderness; 22(36%) of the cases presented with Rebound tenderness; 58(96.6%) of the cases presented with Anorexia; 50(83.3%) of the cases presented with Nausea; 40(66.6%) of the cases presented with Vomiting, 53(88.3%) of the cases presented with Migratory pain; and 29(48.3%) of the cases presented with fever. Signs and symptoms of the study population in the study is summarized in Table 3.

 Table 3: Signs And Symptoms Of The Study Population

 SIGNS & SYMPTOMS
 FREQUENCY N (N=90)
 PERCENTAG E (%)

 RIGHT ILIAC FOSSA
 60
 100%

 TENDERNESS
 8
 96.6%

REBOUND TENDERNESS	22	36.6%
ANOREXIA	58	96.6%
NAUSEA	50	83.3%
VOMITING	40	66.6%
MIGRATORY PAIN	53	88.3%
FEVER	29	48.3%

There is a total of 60 participants (n=60) of Emergency Appendectomy taken. NLCR scores (Mean \pm sd): the average Neutrophil Lymphocyte Count Ratio (NLCR) scores for each diagnosis group. The subtypes of acute appendicitis, Acute Suppurative Appendicitis, Acute Gangrenous appendicitis, Acute appendicitis with peri-appendicitis, Acute on Chronic appendicitis were considered. Each subtype has its own average NLCR score, standard deviation, and p-value compared to the reference group. This table shows the statistical significance of the difference in NLCR scores between the diagnosis group and a reference group (likely healthy patients or a group with a different condition). A pvalue less than 0.05 is generally considered statistically significant. The p-value (0.009) done using one way ANOVA test suggests a statistically significant difference in NLCR scores between this group and the reference group. Association between diagnostic subtypes and neutrophil lymphocyte count ratio (NLCR) scores of study population in the study is summarized in table 4.

Table	4:	Association	Between	Diagnostic	Subtypes	And
Neutro	oph	il Lymphocyt	e Count R	atio (nlcr) Sc	ores	

DIAGNOSIS (N=60)	NLCR scores	р
	(Mean \pm sd)	Value
ACUTE APPENDICITIS (N=32)	4.12 ± 3.86	0.009*
ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	7.06 ± 4.09	
APPENDICITIS (N=8)		
ACUTE GANGRENOUS	10.33 ± 5.29	
APPENDICITIS (N=6)		
ACUTE APPENDICITIS WITH	3.75 ± 2.08	
PERI-APPENDICITIS (N=4)		
ACUTE ON CHRONIC	5.00 ± 3.71	
APPENDICITIS ($N = 10$)		

*p Value < 0.05 is considered statistically Significant

The NLRC score is 4.12 with a standard deviation of 3.86. This means that the average NLRC score for patients with acute appendicitis was 4.12, but there was a variation in scores among the patients in this group. There were 40 patients with acute appendicitis in this category (n=40), and the p-value (0.009) suggests a statistically significant difference in NLRC scores between this group and the reference group (likely healthy patients or a group with a different condition). Acute Suppurative Appendicitis: The NLRC score is 7.06 with a standard deviation of 4.09 (n=8). Acute Gangrenous Appendicitis: The NLRC score is 10.33 with a standard deviation of 5.29 (n=6). Acute Appendicitis with Periappendicitis: The NLRC score is 3.75 with a standard deviation of 2.08 (n=4). Acute on Chronic Appendicitis: The NLRC score is 5.00 with a standard deviation of 3.71 (n=10). Table 5: classification of appendicitis subtypes based on neutrophil lymphocyte count ratio (NLCR) score of study population in the study is summarized in table 5.

Table 5	: Class	sificatio	n Of <i>l</i>	Append	licitis :	Subty	vpes I	βased	On
Neutro	phils L	ymphoo	yte C	ount Ra	tio (nl	cr) Sc	ore		

			,				
Diagnosis	NLCR S	NLCR SCORES					
(N=60)	<3.5	3.5 – 4.5	4.5 - 6	>6			

VOLUME	E - 13, ISSUE - 07, JUL	Y - 2024 • P.	RINT ISSI	No. 2277	- 8160 • Do	OI : 10.361	06/gjra
Acute A	Appendicitis	20	0 (0%)	2	10		syster
(N=32))	(62.5%)		(6.25%)	(3.13%)		
Acute S	Suppurative	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	3	Ho	wever
Append	dicitis (N=8)			(62.5%)	(37.5%)	1.	Cut-c
Acute (Gangrenous	2 (33.3%)	1	0 (0%)	3 (16%)		off vo
Append	dicitis (N=6)		(16.6%)				group
Acute A	Appendicitis with	1 (25%)	1	0 (0%)	2 (50%)		chall
Peri-ap	pendicitis (N=4)		(25%)			2.	Retro
Acute C	On Chronic	6 (60%)	2	1 (10%)	1 (10%)		retros
Append	dicitis (N= 10)		(20%)				cann
	FIGURE 1-NLCR SCORES					3.	Need
	0.7	E I.ILUK	CORES				prosp
	0.625 0.625		0.6				curre



Figure 1. shows the NCLR score in Graph form

DISCUSSION:

Acute appendicitis, a sudden inflammation of the appendix, is a surgical emergency often presenting with a diagnostic challenge. While clinical symptoms and imaging studies offer clues, a definitive diagnosis frequently relies on surgery and subsequent analysis of the appendix itself. This dependence on surgery highlights the need for more accessible tools to aid in accurate and timely diagnosis.⁵

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte count ratio (NLR) emerges as a potential candidate for this role. Neutrophils are a type of white blood cell that are part of the innate immune system and are the first responders to infection and inflammation.⁵ Lymphocytes are another type of white blood cell that are part of the adaptive immune system and help to fight off specific infections. This simple and cost-effective blood test calculates the ratio of neutrophils, infection-fighting white blood cells, to lymphocytes, white blood cells involved in the immune response. Studies suggest that NLR might be elevated in patients with appendicitis, potentially reflecting the body's inflammatory response to the inflamed appendix.

Elevated NLR often indicates inflammation or infection. In appendicitis, the inflamed appendix triggers an inflammatory response, potentially leading to a higher NLR.⁶

Studies, including those analyzing NLCR and its association with various appendicitis subtypes, demonstrate a correlation between elevated NLR and confirmed cases of appendicitis.⁶ There might be a trend towards higher NLR scores in patients with more severe forms of appendicitis, suggesting a possible role in differentiating subtypes beyond simply diagnosing the presence of the condition.7

Unlike surgery or complex imaging techniques, NLR requires a simple blood test, making it a more accessible tool. A reliable NLR threshold could potentially improve the ability to differentiate appendicitis from other causes of abdominal pain, leading to more targeted treatment approaches."

By identifying cases with low NLR suggestive of a low likelihood of appendicitis, NLR could help avoid unnecessary surgeries and their associated risks.

Reference range: A normal NLR is generally considered to be between 1 and 3. However, this range may vary depending on the laboratory and the patient's age.

- An NLR greater than 3 may indicate the presence of inflammation or infection.
- An NLR less than 1 may indicate a weakened immune

system.

However, limitations exist:

- 1. Cut-off Value Uncertainty: Without a definitive NLR cutoff value established in relation to a healthy reference group, interpreting NLR results for diagnosis can be challenging.
- 2. Retrospective Studies: Current research often relies on retrospective studies, which analyse existing data and cannot establish cause-and-effect relationships.
- 3. Need for Validation: Further research in larger prospective studies is needed to validate the findings from current studies and determine the optimal role of NLR in clinical practice.

Future research should focus on:⁹

- Prospective Studies: Conducting larger prospective studies to validate the findings on NLCR and appendicitis.
- Comparison with Other Tools: Comparing NLR with existing diagnostic modalities like imaging and clinical scoring systems to determine its relative effectiveness.
- NLR Cut-off Value: Establishing an optimal NLCR cut-off value for diagnosing appendicitis with high sensitivity and specificity.
- NLR and Subtype Differentiation: Investigating the potential of NLR in differentiating between various appendicitis subtypes in a prospective study design.
- NLR as a Prognostic Tool: Exploring the potential of NLR as a prognostic tool to predict the course of appendicitis and treatment outcomes.

While further research is necessary to solidify NLCR's role in diagnosing appendicitis, its potential is significant. NLR has the potential to become a valuable tool for improving diagnostic accuracy, reducing unnecessary surgeries, and potentially aiding in risk stratification for better patient management. As research continues to explore NLCR and its applications, it might become a game-changer in the world of appendicitis diagnosis.9.10

RESULTS:

In conclusion, this study suggests that NLR holds promise as a biomarker for diagnosing and potentially differentiating subtypes of acute appendicitis. Further research is needed to validate these findings and establish the optimal role of NLR in clinical practice.

REFERENCES:

- Rabindra, Bhattarai A, Joshi R, Kharel A, Iohani I. Comparison of the use of neutrophil: lymphocyte count ratio (NLCR) to total leukocyte count in diagnosing appendicitis in adults with right iliac fossa pain. J Soc Surg Nepal [Internet]. 2018;21(2):24–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/ issn.v21i2.24357
- Flum DR, Koepsell TD. Evaluating diagnostic accuracy in appendicitis using administrative data. J Surg Res [Internet]. 2005;123(2):257-61. Available from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2004.08.020 Rushing A, Bugaev N, Jones C, Como JJ, Fox N, Cripps M, et al. Management of
- acute appendicitis in adults: A practice management guideline from the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. J Trauma Acute Care Surg [Internet]. 2019;87(1):214-24. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1097/TA.00000000002270
- Butt HA, Nasim R, Javed K, Shahid F, Kanwal N, Fazli H, et al. Diagnostic 4. accuracy of fact in clinically suspected cases of Acute Appendicitis in adolescents and adults, taking surgical findings as gold standard. Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences [Internet]. 2022;16(8):733-5. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22168733
- Özdemir H, Özdemir ZÜ, Sunamak O, Cambaztepe F. Which one in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis: Physical examination, laboratory or imaging? A retrospective analysis in the light of pathological results. Electron J Gen Med [Internet]. 2018;15(2):69–73. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.29333/ejgm/81764
- Lowsby R, Gomes C, Jarman I, Lisboa P, Nee PA, Vardhan M, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte count ratio as an early indicator of blood stream infection in the emergency department. Emerg Med J [Internet]. 2015;32(7):531-4. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2014-204071
- Baradaran A, Naimi A, Pirpiran E, Akhlaghi M. Evaluation of diagnostic value of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in younger and older pediatrics suspect to acute appendicitis in Imam Hossein hospital. J Prev Epidemiol [Internet]. 2020;5(2):e26-e26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.34172/jpe.2020.26
- Wickramasinghe DP, Xavier C, Samarasekera DN. The worldwide epidemiology of acute appendicitis: An analysis of the Global Health Data

Exchange dataset. World J Surg [Internet]. 2021;45(7):1999–2008. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-021-06077-5 Atabey M. Can red cell distribution width and neutrophil/ lymphocyte ratio be

_

- Atabey M. Can red cell distribution width and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio be used as adjuvant parameters to support computed tomography in prediction of diagnosis and severity of acute appendicitis in the elderly patients? Am J Biomed Sci Res [Internet]. 2021;11(6):560-7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.34297/ajbsr.2021.11.001695
 Kim T, Moon T, Yoon J, Park S, Jang Y, Lee K, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte
- Kim T, Moon T, Yoon J, Park S, Jang Y, Lee K, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte count ratio has a close association with severe acute colonic diverticulitis in patients undergoing surgical treatment. Int Surg [Internet]. 2017;102(11–12):514–21. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.9738/intsurg-17-00120.1