



COMPARISON OF CLINICAL OUTCOME AND RECOVERY PROFILE OF ETOMIDATE AND PROPOFOL IN ELECTROCONVULSIVE THERAPY

Sudheer Pratap Godara

Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, GAIMS, Bhuj, Gujarat

ABSTRACT

Objectives: to compare the clinical outcome and recovery profile of the hypnotic drugs propofol and etomidate after electroconvulsive therapy. Method: Eighty patients were randomized to receive one of the 2 drugs (n = 40 in each group), during a course of electroconvulsive therapy treatment. The primary outcomes were the course of ECT: treatment motor seizure duration as recorded by visual muscular contractions and amount of time until transfer to the recovery room.

Results: Patients who received propofol had a significantly shorter mean motor (etomidate = 46.1 ± 14.4, propofol = 22.9 ± 7.1) seizure duration than etomidate. Both of the drugs used in this study showed a very short time for recovery, but better one was propofol.

Conclusions: Patients who received propofol had longer acute courses of ECT and consequently, longer and costlier inpatient stays. Etomidate could be a better alternative induction agent in ECT.

KEYWORDS : electroconvulsive therapy, seizure, etomidate, propofol.

Introduction

Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is most commonly used to treat severe or medication resistant depression, although it can also be beneficial in mania and catatonia. During ECT, an electrical current is applied transcutaneously to the brain via two electrodes positioned either bilaterally or unilaterally. The overall aim of both techniques is to induce a generalized seizure with characteristic EEG changes. Too short (.10s) or too long (.120s) may reduce clinical efficacy but other research suggests that the amount of current delivered is more important than length of seizure. Typically, ECT is performed twice weekly until there is a lack of further improvement (on average, 3-4 weeks). Maintenance ECT thereafter is not generally recommended.

Etomidate can precipitate generalised seizure activity in patients with epilepsy, and it does not inhibit evoked seizures in patients undergoing ECT. Induction is associated with high incidence of excitatory phenomenon, including spontaneous muscle movement, hypertonus, and myoclonus. Propofol can shorten the duration of convulsions after ECT, which can be a therapeutic disadvantage.

Materials And Methods

The present study was Prospective Cohort Study conducted in the Department of Anaesthesia, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad in collaboration with Departments of Psychiatry, Civil Hospital , Ahmedabad. Our objective in this study was to compare the effects of the anaesthetics used today for ECT (propofol and etomidate) on the course of treatment and recovery time after treatment on 80 subjects which comprised all the patients of age 15 to 65 years treated with elective ECT in the department of Psychiatry between the years 2012 and 2014. The patients were divided into 2 groups according to the anesthetic used during ECT: propofol or etomidate. Dose of the drugs given were: propofol 1 × body weight (60mg-100mg), and etomidate 0.15 × body weight (8mg-12mg) of the patient. Z test was performed for the data evaluation. The primary outcomes were the course of ECT: treatment motor seizure duration as recorded by visual muscular contractions and amount of time until transfer to the recovery room. The effectiveness of the seizure was defined as minimum 25 seconds of clinical seizure.

Results

Demographic details are mentioned in the table below.

Table 1:demographic details

Socioeconomic status	Upper	4 (5%)	Age(years) ≤20	2(2%)
	Upper middle	9 (11%)	21-39	56 (70%)
	Lower middle	23 (29%)	40-59	14 (18%)

	Upper lower	29 (36%)		>60	8 (10%)
	Lower	15 (19%)	BMI (kg/m2)	<18.5	22 (27%)
Educational level	Illiterate	24(30%)		18.5-24.9	44 (55%)
	Primary	36 (45%)		25-29.9	12 (15%)
	Metric	14 (17%)		>30	2 (3%)
	Graduate	6 (8%)	Diagnoses	Major depression	38 (47%)
Religion	Hindu	62 (77%)		Bipolar depression	22 (28%)
	Muslim	14 (18%)		Schizoaffective disorder	12 (15%)
	Other	4 (5%)			

Patients who received propofol had a significantly shorter mean motor (etomidate = 46.1 ± 14.4, propofol = 22.9 ± 7.1) seizure duration than etomidate.

When the effects of anaesthesia with etomidate or propofol on the recovery times after ECT are evaluated, propofol has been reported to have a better recovery profile.

Table 2 : Comparison of propofol and etomidate on the basis of course of treatment and recovery time

Variable	Propofol (n=40)	SD	Etomidate (n=40)	SD	P-value
Duration of seizure					
Mean motor seizure duration (sec)	22.9	7.1	46.1	14.4	<0.001
Recovery periods					
Beginning of spontaneous breathing (min)	3.31	0.76	3.13	1.14	0.4
Eye opening (min)	4.34	1.3	5.54	2.4	0.005
Mean Time for recovery (min)	7.4	1.9	10.7	3.6	<0.001

Discussion

Propofol, which is a hypnotic agent that exerts its effect on GABA receptors has a rapid onset of its effect and short recovery time. Propofol is also the hypnotic agent with the strongest anticonvulsant effect among the intravenous anesthetics used during ECT and thus raises the seizure threshold and reduces the duration of the seizure induced.

Etomidate has a rapid onset of effect and rapid metabolism. It has also been reported to have a minimal effect on the seizure threshold

induced by ECT. In comparison to propofol, etomidate enables the longest convulsions, and it may be preferred in patients with short durations of convulsions in response to the highest electrical stimulation.

Independently from the energy dose applied, the anesthetic agent used during the ECT plays an important role in achieving an adequate duration of seizures.

During the ECT of patients, propofol was shown to possess significant seizure-shortening properties. Mean seizure durations were significantly longer ($p < 0.001$) for the etomidate sessions as compared with the propofol session. Thus among drugs used for the anesthesia of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), propofol reduces seizure duration to a greater degree than etomidate.

When the effects of anesthesia with etomidate or propofol on the recovery times after ECT are evaluated, propofol has been reported to have a better recovery profile. In our study, the times until the beginning of spontaneous breathing, eye opening and following orders have been found to be significantly shorter in the propofol group compared to the etomidate.

Patients who received propofol had longer acute courses of ECT and consequently, longer and costlier inpatient stays. Etomidate could be a better alternative induction agent in ETC.

References

1. Abdollahi MH, Izadi A, Hajjesmaeili MR, Ghanizadeh A, Dastjerdi G, Hosseini HA, Ghiamat MM, Abbasi HR. Effect of etomidate versus thiopental on major depressive disorder in electroconvulsive therapy: a randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial. *J ECT*. 2012;28(1):10-3.
2. Adverse effects. The Practice of Electroconvulsive Therapy: Recommendations for Treatment, Training and Privileging (A Task Force Report of the American Psychiatric Association). 2nd Edn. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2001. p. 59-76.
3. Akcaboy ZN, Akcaboy EY, Yigitbasli B, et al. Effects of remifentanyl and alfentanil on seizure duration, stimulus amplitudes and recovery parameters during ECT. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2005;49:1068-71.
4. Anaesthesia for Electroconvulsive Therapy: BJA:CEACCP 2010, pg 11-16: Uppal V, Dourish J.
5. Guidance for Electro-convulsive Therapy (ECT) Provided in Remote Sites. London: The Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2003. <http://www.rcoa.ac.uk/docs/ECT-remote.pdf>; 2003, p. 68-94.
6. Guy Sender Zahavi, Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment Volume 10 February 2014 Volume 2014:10 Pinhas Dannon. Comparison of anesthetics in electroconvulsive therapy: an effective treatment with the use of propofol, etomidate, and thiopental. Pages 383—389
7. H. Januschek, T. Nickl-Jockschat, M. Haack, B. Gillmann, M. Grözinger. Comparison of methohexital and etomidate as anesthetic agents for electroconvulsive therapy in affective and psychotic disorders. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. Volume 47, Issue 5, Pages 686–693, May 2013
8. HL Tan, CY Lee. Comparison between the effects of propofol and etomidate on motor and electroencephalogram seizure duration during electroconvulsive therapy. *Journal of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care* July 2014 Volume 37, Issue 5 pp. 56-65.
9. Hooten WM, Rasmussen KG Jr.. Effects of general anesthetic agents in adults receiving electroconvulsive therapy: a systematic review. *J Electroconvuls Ther* 2008;24:208-23.
10. Hoyer, Carolin; Kranaster, Laura; Janke, Christoph; Sartorius, Alexander. Impact of the anesthetic agents ketamine, etomidate, thiopental, and propofol on seizure parameters and seizure quality in electroconvulsive therapy: a retrospective study. *European Archives of Psychiatry & Clinical Neuroscience*; Apr 2014, Vol. 264 Issue 3, p255
11. Husain, M. et al. Speed of response and remission in major depressive disorder with acute electroconvulsive therapy (ECT): a Consortium for research in ECT (CORE) report. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 65, 485-491
12. Iványi, Zsolt MD, PhD; Tolna, Judit MD, PhD; Gazdag, Gábor MD, PhD 2010 *JAMA* 303:527-534
13. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2009, 113 (1-2):165-71
14. Jun GW, Yang HS, Lee YK, Seo HJ. Anesthetic efficacy of etomidate, propofol and thiopental sodium during electroconvulsive therapy. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2011 Jan;6(1):32-36.
15. Khalid N, Atkins M, Kirov G. The effects of etomidate on seizure duration and electrical stimulus dose in seizure-resistant patients during electroconvulsive therapy. *J ECT*. 2006 Sep;22(3):184-8
16. Krystal AD, Weiner RD, Dean MD, et al. Comparison of seizure duration, ictal EEG, and cognitive effects of ketamine and methohexital anaesthesia with ECT. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2003;15:27-34.
17. Kevin J Black. A within-subject comparison of seizure duration with etomidate and methohexital in electroconvulsive therapy. received: 30 Oct 2013, published: 30 Oct 2013. Vol. 2, Issue 4 (July 2012), PP 34-48
18. Macfarlane, Villalonga A, Bernardo M, Gomar C, Fita G, Escobar R, Pacheco M. Cardiovascular response and anesthetic recovery in electroconvulsive therapy with propofol or thiopental. *Convuls Ther* 1993;9:108-11.
19. MacPherson RD, Loo CK, Barrrett N. Electroconvulsive therapy in patients with cardiac pacemakers. *Anaesth Intensive Care*, 2006 Aug;34(4):470-4
20. Mahmut Bulut, Orhan Tokgöz, Feysi Çelik, Zeynep Baysal Yıldırım, Abdullah Atli, Mehmet Cemal Kaya, Haktan Karaman. The Evaluation of the Effects of Anesthetic Agents and Diagnosis on the Seizure Durations, Recovery Times and Complications in Electroconvulsive Therapy. *BCP*. 2014;24(1):23-30
21. M z Abdullah, M M Husain. The comparative effects of methohexital, propofol, and etomidate for electroconvulsive therapy. *Anesthesia & Analgesia (Impact Factor: 3.3)*. 10/2001;81(3):596-602.
22. Moacyr A Rosa, Marina O Rosa, Iara M T Belegarde, Celso R Bueno, Felipe Fregni. Recovery after ECT: comparison of propofol, etomidate and thiopental. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr*. 2008;30(2):149-51
23. Patel AS, Gorst-Unsworth C, Venn RM, Kelley K, Jacob Y. Anesthesia and electroconvulsive therapy: a retrospective study comparing etomidate and propofol. *J ECT*. 2006 Sep;22(3):179-83
24. Paula T. Trzepacz, Frederick C. Weniger, Joel Greenhouse. Etomidate anaesthesia increases seizure duration during ECT. *General Hospital Psychiatry*; Volume 15, Issue 2, March 1993, Pages 115-120. A retrospective study.
25. Pieterella E, Graveland, André I, Wierdsma, Walter W, van den Broek, Tom K, Birkenhäger A. Retrospective comparison of the effects of propofol and etomidate on stimulus variables and efficacy of electroconvulsive therapy in depressed inpatients. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* 45 (2013) 230–235
26. 41. Rasmussen KG, Laurila DR, Brady BM, et al. Seizure length with sevoflurane and thiopental for induction of general anaesthesia in electroconvulsive therapy: a randomized double-blind trial. *J Electroconvuls Ther* 2006;22:240-2.
27. Sackheim H et al (2009) Effect of concomitant pharmacotherapy on electroconvulsive therapy outcomes. Short term efficacy and adverse effects. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 2009;66, 729-773
28. Scot AIF. *The ECT Handbook*. 2nd Edn. Glasgow: The Royal College of Psychiatrists; 2005:300-314.
29. Shafer, Steven L. Impact of Propofol and Etomidate on Seizure Activity During Electroconvulsive Therapy in Patients with Schizophrenia. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*; January 2007 - Volume 104 - Issue 1 - p 24
30. Simpson KH, Lynch L. Anaesthesia and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). *Anaesthesia* 1998;53(7):615-7
31. Smith DL, Angst MS, Brock-Utne JG, DeBattista C. Seizure duration with remifentanyl/methohexital vs. methohexital alone in middle-aged patients undergoing electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2003;47(9):1064-6.
32. Susan M. Benbow, Priti Shah and Joe Crensil, Anaesthesia for electroconvulsive therapy: a role for etomidate. *Psychiatric Bulletin* (2002) 26: 351-353 doi:10.1192/pb.26.9.35161
33. The ECT Handbook (3rd Ed) (2013) Ed Waite J, Easton A p 71; vol 56:78-91.
34. Walker S, Bowley C, Walker H: Anaesthesia for ECT, *The ECT handbook*, pg 14-27
35. Wells DG, Davies GG: Haemodynamic changes associated with Electroconvulsive Therapy. *Anesth Analg* 1987;66, 1193-5.
36. Yilmaz A, Schulz D, Aksoy A, Canbeyli R. Prolonged effect of an anesthetic dose of ketamine on behavioral despair. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2002;71(1-2):341-4.
37. Zahavi GS, Dannon P. Comparison of anaesthetics in electroconvulsive therapy: an effective treatment with the use of propofol, etomidate, and thiopental. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2014 Feb 20;10:383-9.